arXiv:1506.06056v1 [math.DG] 19 Jun 2015

A NOTE ON SEQUENTIAL WARPED PRODUCT MANIFOLDS

S. SHENAWY

Abstract. In this note, we introduce the notion of sequential warped product manifolds, to construct a wide variety of warped product manifolds and space-times. First we derive covariant derivative formulas for sequential warped product manifolds. Then we derive many curvature formulas such as curvature tensor, Ricci curvature and scalar curvature formulas. Some important consequences of these formulas are stated. This article also provides characterizations of geodesics and two different types of conformal vector fields, namely, Killing vector fields and concircular vector fields on sequential warped product manifolds.

1. An introduction

O'Neill and Bishop defined warped product manifolds to construct Riemannian manifolds with negative sectional curvature [7]. This notion plays some important roles in differential geometry as well as in physics [1–3, 5, 6, 14, 17].

Doubly and multiply warped product manifolds are generalization of (singly) warped product manifolds [11, 20, 21]. In this article, we define a new class of warped product manifolds, namely, sequential warped products where the base factor of the warped product is itself a new warped product manifold. Sequential warped products can be considered as a generalization of singly warped products. There are many space-times where base, fibre or both are expressed as a warped product manifolds [19]. First we introduce the new concept.

Definition 1. *Let* $M_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ *be three Riemannian manifolds with metrics* g_i. *Let* $f : M_1 \to (0, \infty)$ *and* $\bar{f} : M_1 \times M_2 \to (0, \infty)$ *be two smooth positive functions on* M_1 *and* $M_1 \times M_2$ *respectively. Then the sequential warped product manifold, denoted by* $(M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3$, *is the triple product manifold* $(M_1 \times M_2) \times M_3$ *furnished with the metric tensor*

$$\bar{g} = \left(g_1 \oplus f^2 g_2\right) \oplus \bar{f}^2 g_3$$

The functions f *and* $\bar{f}$ *are called warping functions. If* $(M_i, g_i), i = 1, 2, 3$ *are all Riemannian manifolds, then the sequential warped product manifold* $(M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3$ *is also a Riemannian manifold.*

Let $(M_i, g_i), i = 1, 2$ be two n_i-dimensional Riemannian manifolds and $\bar{f} : M_1 \times M_2 \to (0, \infty)$ and $f : M_1 \to (0, \infty)$ be two smooth functions. Then $(n_1 + n_2 + 1)-$dimensional product manifold $I \times (M_1 \times M_2)$ furnished with the metric tensor

$$\bar{g} = -\bar{f}^2 dt^2 \oplus \left(g_1 \oplus f^2 g_2\right) \tag{1.1}$$

is a standard static space-time and is denoted by $\bar{M} = I_{\bar{f}} \times (M_1 \times_f M_2)$ where I is an open, connected subinterval of $\mathbb{R}$ and dt^2 is the Euclidean metric tensor on I. Note that standard static space-times can be considered as a generalization of

---

Date: June 19, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C21, 53C25; Secondary 53C50, 53C80.

Key words and phrases. Warped product manifold, space-times, curvature, Killing vector fields, geodesics, concircular vector fields.

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

the Einstein static universe [2–4, 6, 10]. Also, $(n_1+n_2+1)-$ dimensional product manifold $(I\times M_1)\times M_2$ furnished with the metric tensor

$$\bar{g}=-dt^2\oplus\bar{f}^2\left(g_1\oplus f^2g_2\right), \tag{1.2}$$

is a generalized Robertson-Walker space-time, where I is an open, connected subinterval of $\mathbb{R}$, $\bar{f}:I\to(0,\infty)$ is a smooth function, and dt^2 is the Euclidean metric tensor on I.

In [19], there are many exact solutions of Einstein field equation where the space-time may be written of the form $I\times(M_1\times M_2)$ with metrics of the form 1.1 and 1.2.

Remark 1. *If the warping function $\bar{f}$ is defined only on M_1, then we get the multiply warped product manifold $M_1\times_f M_2\times_{\bar{f}} M_3$ with two fibres.*

Remark 2. *The multiply warped product manifold of the form $M_1\times_{f_1}M_2\times_{f_2}M_3$ is the product manifold $M_1\times_{f_1}(M_2\times_{f_2}M_3)$ equipped with the metric*

$$g=g_1+f_1^2g_2+f_2^2g_3$$

where f_1 and f_i are positive functions defined on M_1.

Remark 3. *The warped product of the form $M_1\times_{f_1}(M_2\times_{f_2}M_3)$ furnished by the metric*

$$g=g_1+f_1^2\left(g_2+f_2^2g_3\right)$$

is called the iterated warped product manifold of the manifolds M_1, M_2 and M_3. As a metric space, the iterated warped product manifold is equal to the sequential warped product $(M_1\times_f M_2)\times_{\bar{f}}M_3$ where $f=f_1$ and $\bar{f}=f_1f_2$. Similarly, a sequential warped product $(M_1\times_f M_2)\times_{\bar{f}}M_3$ with separable function $\bar{f}:M_1\times M_2\to\mathbb{R}$ is equal as a metric space to the iterated warped product manifold. Thus the sequential warped product manifold is a generalization of the iterated warped product manifold.

In this article we study some geometric concepts such as curvature, geodesics, killing vector fields and concircular vector fields on sequential warped products as a generalization of both multiply warped product manifolds and iterated warped manifolds. In section 2, we derive covariant derivative formulas for sequential warped product manifolds. Then we derive many curvature formulas such as Ricci curvature and scalar curvature formulas. In section 3, we derive a characterization of two disjoint classes of conformal vector fields on sequential warped product manifolds.

2. CURVATURE OF SEQUENTIAL WARPED PRODUCT MANIFOLDS

The covariant derivative formulas for sequential warped product manifold $(M_1\times_f M_2)\times_{\bar{f}}M_3$ are given as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $\bar{M}=(M_1\times_f M_2)\times_{\bar{f}}M_3$ be a sequential warped product manifold with metric $g=\left(g_1\oplus f^2g_2\right)\oplus\bar{f}^2g_3$ and also let $X_i,Y_i\in\mathfrak{X}(M_i)$. The*

1. $\bar{\nabla}_{X_1}Y_1=\nabla^1_{X_1}Y_1$
2. $\bar{\nabla}_{X_1}X_2=\bar{\nabla}_{X_2}X_1=X_1(\ln f)X_2$
3. $\bar{\nabla}_{X_2}Y_2=\nabla^2_{X_2}Y_2-fg_2(X_2,Y_2)\,\mathrm{grad}^1f$
4. $\bar{\nabla}_{X_3}X_1=\bar{\nabla}_{X_1}X_3=X_1\left(\ln\bar{f}\right)X_3$
5. $\bar{\nabla}_{X_2}X_3=\bar{\nabla}_{X_3}X_2=X_2\left(\ln\bar{f}\right)X_3$
6. $\bar{\nabla}_{X_3}Y_3=\nabla^3_{X_3}Y_3-\bar{f}g_3(X_3,Y_3)\,\mathrm{grad}\bar{f}$

Proof. Let $M=M_1\times_f M_2$ with metric g and Riemannian connection ∇. Now the proof is direct by applying Lemma (7.3) in [7] on $M\times_{\bar{f}}M_3$ and then on $M_1\times_f M_2$. ☐

Theorem 2. *Let* $\bar{M} = (M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3$ *be a sequential warped product manifold with metric* $g = \left(g_1 \oplus f^2 g_2\right) \oplus \bar{f}^2 g_3$ *and also let* $X_i, Y_i, Z_i \in \mathfrak{X}(M_i)$. *Then*

1. $\bar{R}\left(X_1, Y_1\right) Z_1 = R^1\left(X_1, Y_1\right) Z_1$
2. $\bar{R}\left(X_2, Y_2\right) Z_2 = R^2\left(X_2, Y_2\right) Z_2 - \left\|\text{grad}^1 f\right\|^2 \left[g_2\left(X_2, Y_2\right) Y_2 - g_2\left(Z_2, Y_2\right) X_2\right]$
3. $\bar{R}\left(X_1, Y_2\right) Z_1 = \frac{-1}{f} H_1^f\left(X_1, Z_1\right) Y_2$
4. $\bar{R}\left(X_1, Y_2\right) Z_2 = f g_2\left(Y_2, Z_2\right) \nabla^1_{X_1} \text{grad}^1 f$
5. $\bar{R}\left(X_1, Y_2\right) Z_3 = 0$
6. $\bar{R}\left(X_i, Y_i\right) Z_j = 0, i \neq j$
7. $\bar{R}\left(X_i, Y_3\right) Z_j = \dfrac{-1}{\bar{f}} H^{\bar{f}}\left(X_i, Z_j\right) Y_3, i, j = 1, 2$
8. $\bar{R}\left(X_i, Y_3\right) Z_3 = \bar{f} g_3\left(Y_3, Z_3\right) \nabla_{X_i} \text{grad} \bar{f}, i = 1, 2$
9. $\bar{R}\left(X_3, Y_3\right) Z_3 = R^3\left(X_3, Y_3\right) Z_3 - \left\|\text{grad} \bar{f}\right\|^2 \left[g_3\left(X_3, Y_3\right) Y_3 - g_3\left(Z_3, Y_3\right) X_3\right]$

Proof. The proof is direct. First, let $M = M_1 \times_f M_2$ with metric g and Riemannian connection ∇. Then apply Lemma (7.4) in [7] on $M \times_{\bar{f}} M_3$ and then on $M_1 \times_f M_2$. □

Now consider the Ricci curvature $\overline{Ric}$ of a sequential warped product $(M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3$.

Theorem 3. *Let* $\bar{M} = (M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3$ *be a sequential warped product manifold with metric* $g = \left(g_1 \oplus f^2 g_2\right) \oplus \bar{f}^2 g_3$ *and also let* $X_i, Y_i, Z_i \in \mathfrak{X}(M_i)$. *Then*

1. $\overline{Ric}\left(X_1, Y_1\right) = Ric^1\left(X_1, Y_1\right) - \dfrac{n_2}{f} H_1^f\left(X_1, Y_1\right) - \dfrac{n_3}{\bar{f}} H^{\bar{f}}\left(X_1, Y_1\right)$
2. $\overline{Ric}\left(X_2, Y_2\right) = Ric^2\left(X_2, Y_2\right) - f^2 g_2\left(X_2, Y_2\right) f^* - \dfrac{n_3}{\bar{f}} H^{\bar{f}}\left(X_2, Y_2\right)$
3. $\overline{Ric}\left(X_3, Y_3\right) = Ric^3\left(X_3, Y_3\right) - \bar{f}^2 g_3\left(X_3, Y_3\right) \bar{f}^*$
4. $\overline{Ric}\left(X_i, Y_j\right) = 0, i \neq j$

$$\text{where } f^* = \frac{\triangle^1 f}{f} + (n_2 - 1) \frac{\left\|\text{grad}^1 f\right\|^2}{f^2} \text{ and } \bar{f}^* = \frac{\triangle \bar{f}}{\bar{f}} + (n_1 + n_2 - 1) \frac{\left\|\text{grad} \bar{f}\right\|^2}{\bar{f}^2}$$

Corollary 1. *The sequential warped product* $(M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3$ *is Einstein with* $\overline{Ric} = \lambda \bar{g}$ *if and only if*

1. $Ric^1 = \lambda g_1 + \dfrac{n_2}{f} H_1^f + \dfrac{n_3}{\bar{f}} H^{\bar{f}}$
2. $Ric^2 = \omega g_2 + \dfrac{n_3}{\bar{f}} H^{\bar{f}}$ *where* $\omega = f^2 \left(\lambda + \frac{\triangle^1 f}{f} + (n_2 - 1) \frac{\left\|\text{grad}^1 f\right\|^2}{f^2}\right)$
3. M_3 *is Einstein with* $Ric^3 = \mu g_3$
4. $\mu = \bar{f}^2 \left(\lambda + \dfrac{\triangle \bar{f}}{\bar{f}} + (n_2 - 1) \dfrac{\left\|\text{grad} \bar{f}\right\|^2}{\bar{f}^2}\right)$

F. Dobarro and E. Lamí Dozo, in [9], established a relationship between the scalar curvature of a warped product $M \times_f N$ of Riemann manifolds and those ones of M and N. In the following theorem we derive a similar result for the sequential warped product manifold.

Theorem 4. *Let* $\bar{M} = (M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3$ *be a sequential warped product manifold with metric* $g = \left(g_1 \oplus f^2 g_2\right) \oplus \bar{f}^2 g_3$ *and also let* R_i *be the scalar curvature of the manifold* M_i *while* R *and* $\bar{R}$ *are the scalar curvature of the products* $M = M_1 \times_f M_2$ *and* $\bar{M} = M \times_{\bar{f}} M_3$. *Then*

$$\bar{R} = R_1 + R_2 u^{-4/(n_2+1)} + R_3 \bar{u}^{-4/(n_3+1)} - \frac{4 n_2}{(n_2 + 1) u} \triangle u - \frac{4 n_3}{(n_3 + 1) \bar{u}} \triangle \bar{u}$$

where $\bar{u} = \bar{f}^{(n_3+1)/2}$ *and* $u = f^{(n_2+1)/2}$.

Proof. By applying the result in on $\bar{M} = M \times_{\bar{f}} M_3$ we get that

$$\bar{R}\bar{u} = R\bar{u} + R_3\bar{u}^{(n_3-3)/(n_3+1)} - \frac{4n_3}{n_3+1} \triangle \bar{u}$$

where $\bar{u} = \bar{f}^{(n_3+1)/2}$. Then we apply the same result on $M_1 \times_f M_2$ to get

$$Ru = R_1u + R_2u^{(n_2-3)/(n_2+1)} - \frac{4n_2}{n_2+1} \triangle u$$

where $u = f^{(n_2+1)/2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}\bar{u} &= \frac{\bar{u}}{u}\left(R_1u + R_2u^{(n_2-3)/(n_2+1)} - \frac{4n_2}{n_2+1} \triangle u\right) + R_3\bar{u}^{(n_3-3)/(n_3+1)} - \frac{4n_3}{n_3+1} \triangle \bar{u} \\ &= R_1\bar{u} + R_2\bar{u}u^{-4/(n_2+1)} + R_3\bar{u}^{(n_3-3)/(n_3+1)} - \frac{4n_2\bar{u}}{(n_2+1)\,u} \triangle u - \frac{4n_3}{n_3+1} \triangle \bar{u} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\bar{R} = R_1 + R_2u^{-4/(n_2+1)} + R_3\bar{u}^{-4/(n_3+1)} - \frac{4n_2}{(n_2+1)\,u} \triangle u - \frac{4n_3}{(n_3+1)\,\bar{u}} \triangle \bar{u}$$

□

In $(M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3$, as product manifold, a curve $\alpha(t)$ can be written as $\alpha(t) = (\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t), \alpha_3(t))$ with $\alpha_i(t)$ the projections of α into M_i.

Theorem 5. *A curve* $\alpha(t) = (\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t), \alpha_3(t))$ *on* $(M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3$ *is a geodesic if*

(1) $\nabla^1_{T_1}T_1 = f\,\|\dot{\alpha}_2\|_2^2\,\mathrm{grad}^1 f + \bar{f}\,\|\dot{\alpha}_3\|_3^2\left(\mathrm{grad}\bar{f}\right)^T$ *on* M_1
(2) $\nabla^2_{T_2}T_2 = -2T_1(\ln f)\,T_2 + \bar{f}\,\|\dot{\alpha}_3\|_3^2\left(\mathrm{grad}\bar{f}\right)^\perp$ *on* M_2
(3) $\nabla^3_{T_3}T_3 = -2T_1\left(\ln \bar{f}\right)T_3 - 2T_2\left(\ln \bar{f}\right)T_3$ *on* M_3

Proof. Then $\alpha_i(t)$ is regular hence we can suppose $\alpha_i(t)$ is an integral curve of T_i on M_i and so $\alpha(t)$ is an integral curve of $T = T_1 + T_2 + T_3$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_T T &= \bar{\nabla}_{T_1}T_1 + \bar{\nabla}_{T_1}T_2 + \bar{\nabla}_{T_1}T_3 \\ &\quad +\bar{\nabla}_{T_2}T_1 + \bar{\nabla}_{T_2}T_2 + \bar{\nabla}_{T_2}T_3 \\ &\quad +\bar{\nabla}_{T_3}T_1 + \bar{\nabla}_{T_3}T_2 + \bar{\nabla}_{T_3}T_3 \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply Proposition (1) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_T T &= \nabla^1_{T_1}T_1 + 2T_1(\ln f)\,T_2 + 2T_1\left(\ln \bar{f}\right)T_3 \\ &\quad +2T_2\left(\ln \bar{f}\right)T_3 + \nabla^2_{T_2}T_2 - fg_2(T_2, T_2)\,\mathrm{grad}^1 f \\ &\quad +\nabla^3_{T_3}T_3 - \bar{f}g_3(T_3, T_3)\,\mathrm{grad}\bar{f} \end{aligned}$$

This equation implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_T T &= \nabla^1_{T_1}T_1 - fg_2(T_2, T_2)\,\mathrm{grad}^1 f - \bar{f}g_3(T_3, T_3)\left(\mathrm{grad}\bar{f}\right)^T \\ &\quad +\nabla^2_{T_2}T_2 + 2T_1(\ln f)\,T_2 - \bar{f}g_3(T_3, T_3)\left(\mathrm{grad}\bar{f}\right)^\perp \\ &\quad +\nabla^3_{T_3}T_3 + 2T_1\left(\ln \bar{f}\right)T_3 + 2T_2\left(\ln \bar{f}\right)T_3 \end{aligned}$$

□

3. Killing vector fields

Killing vector fields have well-known geometrical and physical interpretations and have been studied for a long time by geometers and physicists on Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. Killing vector fields are vector fields on (pseudo-) Riemannian manifolds that preserve metric i.e. under the flew of a Killing vector field the metric does not change. The set of all Killing vector fields on a connected Riemannian manifold forms a Lie algebra over the set of real numbers. The number of independent Killing vector fields measures the degree of symmetry of a Riemannian manifold. This number is bounded above by the dimension of the isometry group of the standard sphere. On a compact manifold M equality is attained if and only if M is isometric to the standard sphere or the real projective space. The problem of existence and characterization of Killing vector fields is important in both geometry physics. For instance, in compact Riemannian manifolds negative Ricci curvature ensures the absence of nontrivial Killing vector fields. Moreover, non-positive Ricci curvature of M implies that any Killing vector field is parallel [5, 6, 13–16, 18]

In [10], the authors studied Killing vector fields of warped product manifolds specially in standard static space-times. They proves a global characterization of the Killing vector fields of a standard static space-time They proved a form of a Killing vector field on the space-time in terms of Killing vector fields of the product parts. Moreover, a characterization of the Killing vector fields on a standard static space-time with compact Riemannian parts and many other interesting results are given. In this section we study the concept of Killing vector fields on sequential warped product manifolds.

A vector field ζon a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is Killing if

$$\mathcal{L}_\zeta g = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

where is the Lie derivative in direction of the vector field ζ. This is equivalent to say that ζ is Killing if

$$g\left(\nabla_X \zeta, Y\right) + g\left(X, \nabla_Y \zeta\right) = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. By symmetry of the above equation, ζ is Killing if

$$g\left(\nabla_X \zeta, X\right) = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

for any vector fields $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Theorem 6. *A vector field $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left((M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3\right)$ is Killing if*

1. *ζ_i is Killing on M_i, for every $i = 1, 2, 3$*
2. *$\zeta_1(f) = 0$*
3. *$(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)\bar{f} = 0$*

Proof. The vector field $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left((M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3\right)$ is Killing by equation (3.3) if and only if

$$g\left(\nabla_X \zeta, X\right) = 0$$

for any vector fields $X \in \mathfrak{X}\left((M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3\right)$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\nabla_X \zeta, X\right) &= \bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_1}\zeta_1 + \bar{\nabla}_{X_1}\zeta_2 + \bar{\nabla}_{X_1}\zeta_3, X\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_2}\zeta_1 + \bar{\nabla}_{X_2}\zeta_2 + \bar{\nabla}_{X_2}\zeta_3, X\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_3}\zeta_1 + \bar{\nabla}_{X_3}\zeta_2 + \bar{\nabla}_{X_3}\zeta_3, X\right) \end{aligned}$$

Now using Proposition (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& g\left(\nabla_X \zeta, X\right) \\
= \; & \bar{g}\left(\nabla^1_{X_1}\zeta_1 + X_1\left(\ln f\right)\zeta_2 + X_1\left(\ln \bar{f}\right)\zeta_3, X\right) \\
& +\bar{g}\left(\zeta_1\left(\ln f\right)X_2 + \nabla^2_{X_2}\zeta_2 - f g_2\left(\zeta_2, X_2\right)\operatorname{grad}^1 f + X_2\left(\ln \bar{f}\right)\zeta_3, X\right) \\
& +\bar{g}\left(\zeta_1\left(\ln \bar{f}\right)X_3 + \zeta_2\left(\ln \bar{f}\right)X_3 + \nabla^3_{X_3}\zeta_3 - \bar{f} g_3\left(\zeta_3, X_3\right)\operatorname{grad}\bar{f}, X\right)
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
g\left(\nabla_X \zeta, X\right) = \; & g_1\left(\nabla^1_{X_1}\zeta_1, X_1\right) + f^2 g_2\left(\nabla^2_{X_2}\zeta_2, X_2\right) + \bar{f}^2 g_3\left(\nabla^3_{X_3}\zeta_3, X_3\right) \\
& +f\zeta_1\left(f\right)g_2\left(X_2, X_2\right) + \bar{f}\zeta_1\left(\bar{f}\right)g_3\left(X_3, X_3\right) \\
& +\bar{f}\zeta_2\left(\bar{f}\right)g_3\left(X_3, X_3\right)
\end{aligned}$$

From this equation one can easily get the result. □

The following result will enable us to discuss the converse of the above result.

Theorem 7. *A vector field* $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left(\left(M_1 \times_f M_2\right) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3\right)$ *satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{L}_\zeta g\right)\left(X, Y\right) = \; & \left(\mathcal{L}^1_{\zeta_1} g_1\right)\left(X_1, Y_1\right) + f^2\left(\mathcal{L}^2_{\zeta_2} g_2\right)\left(X_2, Y_2\right) + \bar{f}^2\left(\mathcal{L}^3_{\zeta_3} g_3\right)\left(X_3, Y_3\right) \\
& +2f\zeta_1\left(f\right)g_2\left(X_2, Y_2\right) + 2\bar{f}\left(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2\right)\left(\bar{f}\right)g_3\left(X_3, Y_3\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}\left(\left(M_1 \times_f M_2\right) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3\right)$.

Corollary 2. *Let* $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left(\left(M_1 \times_f M_2\right) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3\right)$ *be a Killing vector field. Then*

1. ζ_1 *is Killing on* M_1,
2. ζ_2 *is conformal on* M_2 *with conformal factor* $-2\zeta_1\left(\ln f\right)$,
3. ζ_3 *is conformal on* M_3 *with conformal factor* $-2\left(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2\right)\left(\ln \bar{f}\right)$.

Proof. Consider equation (3.4). We have the following cases

Case 1: If $X = X_1$ and $Y = Y_1$, then

$$\left(\mathcal{L}^1_{\zeta_1} g_1\right)\left(X_1, Y_1\right) = 0$$

and thus ζ_1 is killing.

Case 2: If $X = X_2$ and $Y = Y_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= f^2\left(\mathcal{L}^2_{\zeta_2} g_2\right)\left(X_2, Y_2\right) + 2f\zeta_1\left(f\right)g_2\left(X_2, Y_2\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{L}^2_{\zeta_2} g_2\right)\left(X_2, Y_2\right) &= -2\zeta_1\left(\ln f\right)g_2\left(X_2, Y_2\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and thus ζ_2 is conformal.

Case 3: If $X = X_3$ and $Y = Y_3$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \bar{f}^2\left(\mathcal{L}^3_{\zeta_3} g_3\right)\left(X_3, Y_3\right) + 2\bar{f}\left(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2\right)\left(\bar{f}\right)g_3\left(X_3, Y_3\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{L}^3_{\zeta_3} g_3\right)\left(X_3, Y_3\right) &= -2\left(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2\right)\left(\ln \bar{f}\right)g_3\left(X_3, Y_3\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and thus ζ_3 is conformal.

□

Theorem 8. *Let* $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left(\left(M_1 \times_f M_2\right) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3\right)$ *be a Killing vector field. Then* $g\left(\zeta, X\right)$ *is constant along the integral curve* $\alpha\left(t\right) = \left(\alpha_1\left(t\right), \alpha_2\left(t\right), \alpha_3\left(t\right)\right)$ *of* X *if*

1. $\nabla^1_{X_1}X_1 = f\left\|\dot{\alpha}_2\right\|_2^2\operatorname{grad}^1 f + \bar{f}\left\|\dot{\alpha}_3\right\|_3^2\left(\operatorname{grad}\bar{f}\right)^T$ *on* M_1
2. $\nabla^2_{X_2}X_2 = -2X_1\left(\ln f\right)X_2 + \bar{f}\left\|\dot{\alpha}_3\right\|_3^2\left(\operatorname{grad}\bar{f}\right)^\perp$ *on* M_2
3. $\nabla^3_{X_3}X_3 = -2X_1\left(\ln \bar{f}\right)X_3 - 2X_2\left(\ln \bar{f}\right)X_3$ *on* M_3.

Proof. The conditions (1-3) imply that $\alpha(t)$ is a geodesic and so $\nabla_X X = 0$(see Theorem 5). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Xg(\zeta, X) &= g(\nabla_X \zeta, X) + g(\zeta, \nabla_X X) \\ &= g(\nabla_X \zeta, X) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_\zeta g)(X, X) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $g(\zeta, X)$ is constant along the integral curve of X. $\square$

A vector field ζ on a Riemannian manifold M is called concircular vector field if

$$\nabla_X \zeta = \mu X$$

for any vector field X where μ is function defined on M. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_\zeta g)(X, Y) &= g(\nabla_X \zeta, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Y \zeta) \\ &= g(\mu X, Y) + g(X, \mu Y) \\ &= 2\mu g(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. any concircular vector field is a conformal vector field. Concircular vector fields have many applications in geometry and physics [8].

Theorem 9. *Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left((M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3\right)$ be a concircular vector field on $\bar{M} = (M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3$. Then each ζ_i is a non-zero concircular vector field on M_i if and only if both f and $\bar{f}$ are constant functions.*

Proof. Using the definition of concircular vector fields and the Theorem 1, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X \zeta &= \nabla_{X_1}\zeta_1 + \nabla_{X_1}\zeta_2 + \nabla_{X_1}\zeta_3 + \nabla_{X_2}\zeta_1 + \nabla_{X_2}\zeta_2 + \nabla_{X_2}\zeta_3 + \nabla_{X_3}\zeta_1 + \nabla_{X_3}\zeta_2 + \nabla_{X_3}\zeta_3 \\ \mu X &= \nabla^1_{X_1}\zeta_1 + X_1(f)\zeta_2 + X_1\left(\bar{f}\right)\zeta_3 + \zeta_1(f)X_2 + \nabla^2_{X_2}\zeta_2 - f g_2(X_2, \zeta_2)\operatorname{grad}^1 f \\ &\quad + X_2\left(\bar{f}\right)\zeta_3 + \zeta_1\left(\bar{f}\right)X_3 + \zeta_2\left(\bar{f}\right)X_3 + \nabla^3_{X_3}\zeta_3 - \bar{f} g_3(X_3, \zeta_3)\operatorname{grad}\bar{f} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that both f and $\bar{f}$ are constant functions, the

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^1_{X_1}\zeta_1 - f g_2(X_2, \zeta_2)\operatorname{grad}^1 f - \bar{f} g_3(X_3, \zeta_3)\left(\operatorname{grad}\bar{f}\right)^T &= \mu X_1 \\ \nabla^2_{X_2}\zeta_2 + X_1(f)\zeta_2 + \zeta_1(f)X_2 - \bar{f} g_3(X_3, \zeta_3)\left(\operatorname{grad}\bar{f}\right)^\perp &= \mu X_2 \\ \nabla^3_{X_3}\zeta_3 + X_1\left(\bar{f}\right)\zeta_3 + X_2\left(\bar{f}\right)\zeta_3 + \zeta_1\left(\bar{f}\right)X_3 + \zeta_2\left(\bar{f}\right)X_3 &= \mu X_3 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Now, suppose that both f and $\bar{f}$ are constant functions, then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^1_{X_1}\zeta_1 &= \mu X_1 \\ \nabla^2_{X_2}\zeta_2 &= \mu X_2 \\ \nabla^3_{X_3}\zeta_3 &= \mu X_3 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. each ζ_i is concircular on M_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Now, suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^1_{X_1}\zeta_1 &= \mu_1 X_1 \\ \nabla^2_{X_2}\zeta_2 &= \mu_2 X_2 \\ \nabla^3_{X_3}\zeta_3 &= \mu_3 X_3 \end{aligned}$$

Then equations 3.5 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 X_1 - f g_2(X_2, \zeta_2)\operatorname{grad}^1 f - \bar{f} g_3(X_3, \zeta_3)\left(\operatorname{grad}\bar{f}\right)^T &= \mu X_1 \\ \mu_2 X_2 + X_1(f)\zeta_2 + \zeta_1(f)X_2 - \bar{f} g_3(X_3, \zeta_3)\left(\operatorname{grad}\bar{f}\right)^\perp &= \mu X_2 \\ \mu_3 X_3 + X_1\left(\bar{f}\right)\zeta_3 + X_2\left(\bar{f}\right)\zeta_3 + \zeta_1\left(\bar{f}\right)X_3 + \zeta_2\left(\bar{f}\right)X_3 &= \mu X_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{\mu}_1 X_1 - f g_2(X_2,\zeta_2)\,\mathrm{grad}^1 f - \bar{f} g_3(X_3,\zeta_3)\left(\mathrm{grad}\bar{f}\right)^T = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

$$\bar{\mu}_2 X_2 + X_1(f)\zeta_2 + \zeta_1(f) X_2 - \bar{f} g_3(X_3,\zeta_3)\left(\mathrm{grad}\bar{f}\right)^\perp = 0 \tag{3.7}$$

$$\bar{\mu}_3 X_3 + X_1\left(\bar{f}\right)\zeta_3 + X_2\left(\bar{f}\right)\zeta_3 + \zeta_1\left(\bar{f}\right)X_3 + \zeta_2\left(\bar{f}\right)X_3 = 0 \tag{3.8}$$

These equations are true for any arbitray vector field X. Let $X_3 = 0$ in the equation 3.8, then

$$(X_1 + X_2)\left(\bar{f}\right)\zeta_3 = 0$$

Since $\zeta_3 = 0$, $(X_1 + X_2)\left(\bar{f}\right)\zeta_3 = 0$ for any vector field $X_1 + X_2$ and so $\bar{f}$ is constant. Now, equations 3.6 and 3.7 become

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}_1 X_1 - f g_2(X_2,\zeta_2)\,\mathrm{grad}^1 f &= 0 \\ \bar{\mu}_2 X_2 + X_1(f)\zeta_2 + \zeta_1(f) X_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that f is constant. □

The converse of the above result is considered in the following theorem.

Theorem 10. *Let* $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left((M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3\right)$ *be a vector field on* $\bar{M} = (M_1 \times_f M_2) \times_{\bar{f}} M_3$. *Then* $\zeta = \zeta_1$ *is a concircular vector field if* ζ_1 *is a concircular vector field with factor* $\mu_1 = \zeta_1(\ln f) = \zeta_1\left(\ln \bar{f}\right)$.

Note that a vector field $u\partial_t$ an open connected interval I with the Euclidean metic is concircular with $\mu = \dot{u}$. Now we can use the above theorem to get the following result.

Corollary 3. *Let* $\bar{M} = I \times_f \left(M_1 \times_{\bar{f}} M_2\right)$ *be a generalized Robertson-Walker* $(n_1 + n_2 + 1)-$ *dimensional space-time furnished with the metric tensor* $\bar{g} = -dt^2 \oplus f^2\left(g_1 \oplus \bar{f}^2 g_2\right)$ *where* I *is an open, connected subinterval of* $\mathbb{R}$, $\bar{f} : I \to (0,\infty)$ *is a smooth function, and* dt^2 *is the Euclidean metric tensor on* I. *Then* $\zeta = u\partial_t$ *is a concircular vector field on* $\bar{M}$ *if* $\bar{f}$ *is constant and* $\dot{u} = \zeta_1(\ln f)$. *Moreover,* $\mu = \dot{u}$.

References

[1] P S Apostolopoulos and J G Carot, *Conformal symmetries in warped manifolds*, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **8** (2005), 28–33.

[2] D.E. Allison , *Energy conditions in standard static space-times*, General Relativity and Gravitation, **20**(1998), No. 2, 115-122.

[3] D.E. Allison, *Geodesic Completeness in Static Space-times*, Geometriae Dedicata, **26** (1988), 85-97.

[4] D.E. Allison and B. Ünal, *Geodesic Structure of Standard Static Space-times*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **46**(2003), No.2, 193-200.

[5] V. N. Berestovskii, Yu. G. Nikonorov, *Killing vector fields of constant length on Riemannian manifolds*, Siberian Mathematical Journal, **49**(2008), Issue 3 , pp 395-407

[6] A. L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[7] R. L. Bishop and B. O'Neill, *Manifolds of negative curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (1969), 1-49.

[8] B. Y. Chen, *A simple characterization of generalized Robertson-Walker space-times*, General Relativity and Gravitation, **46**(2014), 1833-1839

[9] F. Dobarro E. Lamí Dozo, *Scalar Curvature and Warped Products Of Riemann Manifolds,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **303** (1987), no. 1, 161-168.

[10] F. Dobarro and B. Unal, *Characterizing killing vector fields of standard static space-times*, J. Geom. Phys. **62** (2012), 1070–1087.

[11] F. Dobarro, B. Unal, *Curvature of multiply warped products*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **51**(2005), no. 1, 75-106.

[12] D. Dumitru, *On multiply Einstein warped products*, Annals of the Alexandru Ioan Cuza University - Mathematics, to appear.

[13] K. L. Duggala and R. Sharma,*Conformal killing vector fields on spacetime solutions of Einstein's equations and initial data*, Nonlinear Analysis **63** (2005) e447 – e454.

[14] V. G. Ivancevic and T. T. Ivancevic, *Applied Differential Geometry: A Modern Introduction*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Ltd, London, 2007.

[15] W. Kuhnel and H. Rademacher, *Conformal vector fields on pseudo-Riemannian spaces*, Journal of Geometry and its Applications, **7**(1997), 237–250.

[16] T. Oprea, *2-Killing Vector Fields on Riemannian Manifolds*, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, **13**(2008), No.1, 87-92.

[17] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*, Academic Press Limited, London, 1983.

[18] S. Shenawy and B. Unal, $2-$*Killing vector fields on warped product manifolds*, International Journal of Mathematics, **26**(2015), 17 pages.

[19] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers, and E. Herlt, *Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations.* Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[20] B. Unal, *Multiply warped products*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **34**(2001), no. 3-4, 287-301.

[21] B. Unal, *Doubly warped products*, Differential Geometry and its Applications **15** (2001), 253–263.

Basic Science Department, Modern Academy for Engineering and Technology, Maadi, Egypt.

E-mail address: `drssshenawy@eng.modern-academy.edu.eg, drshenawy@mail.com`